



Wound Infection Rates and the Results of Antibiotic Susceptibility Tests in Severely Burned Patients

Mithaq S. Khudr¹, Mazin Kais. Ameen¹, Batool Mutar Mahdi²

¹ Department of Microbiology, Al-Kindy Teaching Hospital,

² Department of Clinical Immunology, Al-Kindy Collage of Medicine, Baghdad University, Baghdad-Iraq

Abstract

Infection of burn wound is an important cause of mortality in burns Units in hospitals. The types of bacterial isolates and rapidly emerging antibiotics resistance are important factor in treatment of patients. The aim of this study was to demonstrate the types of bacterial isolated from burns and antibiotics resistance. A cross –sectional case control study included 220 Iraqi Arab Muslims patients who had burn (third degree) and admitted in the burn Department at Al-Kindy Teaching Hospital between January-June 2013. Age of the patients group was ranged from 1-70 years. Females were 124 and the rest were males. Swabs from burn area were collected and cultured on Blood Agar (Oxoid) and MacConkey Agar (oxoid) and other swabs were collected for Gram staining. Identification of bacteria and antibiotic susceptibility test were done using VITEK 2 Compact Device (Biomereuix Company – France). This study showed that burn is more common in females than males and females with infected burn (28.63%) were more common than males (11.36%). Klebsiella pneumonia is the most frequent bacterial isolated from burn (44%) and in both sexes, females and males, 41% and 45.56% respectively from burn patients. Klebsiella pneumonia is the most frequent bacterial isolated from burn (80.9%). Pseudomonas aeruginosa is also resistance to these antibiotics (93.75%). Acinetobacter spp. and Bruckholderia spp. were also resistance to gentamycine, Ceftriaxone and Cefotaxime (100%). In case of Gram + bacteria, Staphylococcus aureus was resistance to impenime (90.75%) and Enterococcus fecalis is resistance to all antibiotics. Klebsiella pneumonia is the most frequent bacterial isolated from burn and it is the most frequent bacterial isolated from burn.

Key Words: Burn, infection, antibiotics

(Rec.Date: Oct 09, 2014)

Accept Date: Oct 27, 2014)

Corresponding Author: Batool Mutar Mahdi, Director of HLA Typing Research Unit, Department of Microbiology, Al-Kindy College of Medicine, Baghdad University, AL-Nahda Square-Baghdad-Iraq

E-mail: batool1966@yahoo.com

Introduction

Burn is one of devastating type of trauma with high morbidity and mortality that required urgent hospitalization and quarantine. Each year many victims of burn resulted from motor car and airplane accidents, electrical injuries, chemical substance exposures, or splitting of hot-liquid [1]. The future risk of burn is septicemia that leads to death which is the most commonly occur in patients with burns. This is influenced by nature and extent of the injured area, the type and quantity of microorganisms that colonize the burn wound [2]. Infection occurs due to a state of immunosuppression that predisposes burn patients to infectious complications by many microorganisms [3]. The main microorganisms that infect the wound are gram-positive bacteria such as methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and gram-negative bacteria such as *Acinetobacter baumannii-calcoaceticus* complex, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Klebsiella* species due to their resistance to a wide range of different antimicrobial antibiotics [4]. Amikacin is the most effective drug against gram negative bacteria, on the other hand, resistance to it was significantly increased. For other bacteria like *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa*, netilmicin and piperacillin were found to be the most effective drugs [5]. Nosocomial infection by fungal infection like *Candida krusei* had also showed a resistance to many drugs [6]. The site of patients in the hospital wards is also affects the type of the bacteria and susceptibility to antibiotics for example, patients with burn in ICU and patients with common burn units had great implication for bacterial infection and control [7].

In our study, we try to demonstrate the type of bacteria that was isolated from burn patients and determination the type of antibiotics that resist to it in a sample of patients from Baghdad.

Patients and Methods

A cross –sectional case control study included 220 Iraqi Arab Muslims patients who had burn (third degree) with a total body surface area ranged from 30-75% and admitted in the burn Department at Al-Kindy teaching Hospital between January – 2013 to June – 2013. Age of the patients group was ranged from 1-70 years. Females were 124 and the rest were males.

The Scientific and Ethical Committee of Al-kindy medical college and Al-Kindy Teaching Hospital had approved the study. Informed consent was obtained from all patients and control group.

Swabs from burn area were collected seven to ten days after admission and cultured on Blood Agar (Oxoid) and MacConkey Agar (oxoid) and other swabs were collected for Gram staining. Identification of bacteria and antibiotic susceptibility test were done using VITEK 2 Compact Device (Biomereuiex Company –France).

Statistical analysis: Data was analysed for descriptive statistics. It was done by using the software of MiniTab version. 3.0.

Results

This study showed that burn is more common in females than males and females with infected burn (28.63%) were more common than males (11.36%) as demonstrated in Table 1. Burn is more common in young (21-30) years in both sexes Table 2. Klebsiella pneumonia is the most frequent bacterial isolated from burn (44%) and in both sexes, females and males, 41% and 45.56% respectively from burn patients as shown in Table 3.

It is most resistance to Ceftriaxone and Cefotaxime (80.9%). Pseudomonas aeruginosa had resistance to these antibiotics (93.75%). Acinetobacter spp. and Bruckholderia spp. showed resistance to gentamycine, Ceftriaxone and Cefotaxime (100%) as shown in Table 4. In case of Grame + bacteria, Staphylococcus aureus was resistance to impenime (90.75%) and Enterococcus fecalis is resistance to all antibiotics (Table 4).

Table 1. Number and percentages of patients with and without infection

With Infection		Without Infection	
Female	Male	Female	Male
100	63	24	25
45.45%	28.63%	10.90%	11.36%

Table 2. Age distribution of patients with burn.

Age (year)	Male	Female
1-10	14	21
11-20	15	21
21-30	22	50
31-40	20	20
>40	17	10

Table 3. Bacterial Isolates according to Patient's Sex.

Bacterial Isolates	Male	Female	Total
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
<i>Klebsiella pneumonia</i>	38 (41)	72 (45.56)	110 (44)
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	31 (33.6)	49 (31.01)	80 (32.4)
<i>Proteus spp.</i>	6 (6.2)	13 (8.22)	19 (7.6)
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	8 (8.6)	8 (5.06)	16 (6.4)
<i>Acinetobacter spp.</i>	7 (7.6)	8 (5.6)	15 (6)
<i>Bruckholderia spp.</i>	1 (1.08)	4 (2.53)	5 (2)
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	1 (1.08)	2 (1.26)	3 (1.2)
<i>Enterobacter spp.</i>	--	1 (0.63)	1 (0.4)
<i>Enterococcus fecalis</i>	--	1 (0.63)	1 (0.4)
Total	92 (100)	158 (100)	250 (100)

Table 4. Resistant Pattern to Common Antibiotics

Organism, n (%)	Imipenim	Ciprofloxacin	Gentamicin	Ceftriaxone	Cefotaxime	Meropenim	Amikacin	Total
<i>Klebsiella pneumonia</i>	65 (59)	84 (45.4)	84 (76.4)	89 (80.9)	89 (80.9)	72 (65.5)	85 (77.3)	110
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	29 (36.3)	47 (58.8)	60 (75)	75 (93.8)	75 (93.8)	60 (75)	65 (81.3)	80
<i>Proteus spp.</i>	14 (73.7)	3 (15.8)	12 (63.2)	16 (84.2)	16 (84.2)	9 (47.4)	7 (36.9)	19
<i>Acinetobacter spp.</i>	12 (80)	12 (80)	15 (100)	14 (93.3)	14 (93.3)	14 (93.3)	13 (86.9)	15
<i>Bruckholderia spp.</i>	4 (80)	3 (60)	5 (100)	5 (100)	5 (100)	4 (80)	1 (20)	5
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	1 (33.3)	2 (66.7)	2 (66.7)	3 (100)	3 (100)	2 (66.7)	2 (66.7)	3
<i>Enterobacter</i>	--	--	1 (100)	1 (100)	1 (100)	--	--	1
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	9 (47.4)	9 (47.4)	9 (47.4)	9 (47.4)	4 (23.5)	5 (29.4)	15 (93.8)	16
<i>Enterococcus fecalis</i>	1 (100)	1 (100)	1 (100)	1 (100)	1 (100)	1 (100)	1 (100)	1

Discussion

Microbial infection in patients with burn is an obstacle of treatment. However, little knowledge is available on what types of microbial infection dominate in the burn ward in discrete rejoin in Baghdad (Al-Kindy Teaching Hospital) and how the dynamic change in antibiotics resistance of those microorganisms. In our study burn is more common in females than males and females with infected burn (28.63%) were more common than males (11.36%). *Klebsiella pneumonia* is the most frequent bacterial isolated from burn (44%) and in both sexes, females and males, 41% and 45.56% respectively from burn patients. It is most resistance to Ceftriaxone and Cefotaxime (80.9%). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is also resistance

to these antibiotics (93.75%). In case of Gram + bacteria, *Staphylococcus aureus* was resistance to imipenem (90.75%) and *Enterococcus faecalis* is resistance to all antibiotics. Other studies showed that pathogens and their antibiotic resistances are significantly different according to site of burn patients (ICU and common burn units) that affect infection control in burn patients, they found that microorganisms isolated from patients in common burn units were *S. aureus* (103, 27.6%), *P. aeruginosa* (46, 12.3%), *K. pneumoniae* (38, 10.2%) and *Escherichia coli* (32, 8.6%). Antibiotic resistance pattern of bacteria isolated from clinical samples of burn patients from ICU was significantly higher than those from common burn units [8]. Other report demonstrated that the common isolated bacteria is *Acinetobacter* sp. and *P. aeruginosa* were showed higher resistant to almost all antibiotics tested which is in agreement with our results and more frequent *Acinetobacter* sp. isolates appeared to be resistant to amikacin, gentamicin, tobramycin, ceftazidim, piperacillin, tazobactam, levofloxacin, and ciprofloxacin and more frequent *Escherichia coli* isolates were resistant to ceftazidime and aztreonam and *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli* isolates were sensitive to less used ciprofloxacin and piperacillin/tazobactam [9]. The increased rates of drug-resistant isolates nowadays might be associated with regular uses and prophylactic therapy with antibiotics treatment. Other study in Iran showed that *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus* are the most important pathogens and are a causative agent in burn wound infections and the frequency of *P. aeruginosa* resistance to ciprofloxacin, amikacin, and gentamicin was over 85% and rate of *S. aureus* resistance to cloxacillin and cephalexin was 90% [10]. Another study from Syria showed that the main bacteria causing infection were *S. aureus*, *E. coli*, and other Enterobacters; and later on *Pseudomonas* and *Candida* were the dominant organisms [11].

In many developing and developed countries such as South Korea [12], Jordan [13], Libya [14], India [15], Turkey [16], USA [17], France [18], and Italy [19] have reported *P. aeruginosa* as an important microorganism in burn centers. The main cause that favors infection is environmental factors like moisture is a dangerous factor in hospital reservoirs of *P. aeruginosa*, as in respiratory equipment, medicines, disinfectants, sinks, food, and vegetables.

The antibiotic resistance pattern was performed usually by disc diffusion method and other one by molecular method. Different staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* (SCC *mec*)

types were determined by specific primers and by a disc diffusion method, no resistance pattern was observed to vancomycin and fosfomycin while using a multiplex PCR assay, there are five different SCCmec types were detected [20]. The antibiotic resistance isolates from beta-lactamase producing Staphylococci from wounds and burns patients are either plasmid-borne and few are chromosomal-borne. The plasmid-mediated resistance observed among various antibiotics poses difficulty in treatment for clinicians [21]. The main infection control measures in burn canter are early referral to burn units, early excision and grafting procedure, improved barrier nursing, and regular microbiological analysis of the hospital's environment and staff members.

Competing and conflict of interests: The authors have declared that no competing and conflicting of interest exists.

References

1. Latenser BA, Miller SF, Bessey PQ, Browning SM, Caruso DM, Gomez M, Jeng JC, Krichbaum JA, Lentz CW, Saffle JR, Schurr MJ, Greenhalgh DG, Kagan RJ. National Burn Repository 2006: a ten-year review. *J Burn Care Res.* 2007;28(5):635-58.
2. Church D, Elsayed S, Reid O, Winston B, Lindsay R. Burn wound infections. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2006;19(2):403-34.
3. Backstein R, Peters W and Neligan P. Burns in the disabled. *Burns.* 1993;19:192-7.
4. Keen EF 3rd, Robinson BJ, Hospenthal DR, Aldous WK, Wolf SE, Chung KK, Murray CK. Prevalence of multidrug-resistant organisms recovered at a military burn center. *Burns.* 2010;36(6):819-25.
5. Agnihotri N, Gupta V, Joshi RM. Aerobic bacterial isolates from burn wound infections and their antibiograms-a five-year study. *Burns.* 2004;30(3):241-3.
6. Sarabahi S, Tiwari VK, Arora S, Capoor MR, Pandey A. Changing pattern of fungal infection in burn patients. *Burns.* 2012;38(4):520-8.
7. Yali G, Jing C, Chunjiang L, Cheng Z, Xiaoqiang L, Yizhi P. Comparison of pathogens and antibiotic resistance of burn patients in the burn ICU or in the common burn ward. *Burns.* 2014;40(3):402-7.
8. Yali G, Jing C, Chunjiang L, Cheng Z, Xiaoqiang L, Yizhi P. Comparison of pathogens and antibiotic resistance of burn patients in the burn ICU or in the common burn ward. *Burns.* 2013(21) S0305-4179(13)00232-5.
9. Sun FJ, Zhang XB, Fang Y, Chen J, Xing H, Shi H, Feng W, Xia P. Spectrum and drug resistance of pathogens from patients with burns. *Burns.* 2012;38(8):1124-30.
10. Rastegar Lari AR, Alaghebandan R, Akhlaghi L. Burn Wound Infections and Antimicrobial Resistance in Tehran, Iran: an Increasing Problem. *Ann Burns Fire Disasters.* 2005;18(2):68-73.

11. Dayoub A, Zeidan F, Radidy S. Infection in burns: Experience of a teaching hospital in Syria. *Ann Burns and Fire Disasters*. 1995;8(1):17-9.
12. Song W, Lee KM, Kang HJ, Shin DH, Kim DK. Microbiologic aspects of predominant bacteria isolated from burn patients in Korea. *Burns*. 2001;27(2):136-9.
13. Al-Akayleh AT. Invasive burn wound infection. *Ann Burns and Fire Disasters*. 1999;12(4):204-6.
14. Husain MT, Karim QN, Tajuri S. Analysis of infection in a burn ward. *Burns*. 1989;15(5):299-302.
15. Revathi G, Puri J, Jain BK. Bacteriology of burns. *Burns*. 1998;24(4):347-9.
16. Arslan E, Dalay C, Yavuz M, Göcenler L, Acartürk S. Gramnegative bacterial surveillance in burn patients. *Ann Burns and Fire Disasters*. 1999;12(2):81-3.
17. Shankowsky HA, Callioux LS, Tredget EE. North America survey of hydrotherapy in modern burn care. *J Burn Care Rehabil*. 1994;15(2):143-6.
18. Cremer R, Ainaud P, Le Bever H, Faber N, Carsin H. Nosocomial infections in a burns unit. Results of a prospective study over a year. *Ann Fr Anesth Reanim*. 1996;15(5):599-607.
19. Calvario A, Di Lonardo A, Larocca AMV, Parisi D, Montagna MT, Ressa M, Silvestri A, Maggio G. Microbiological monitoring of severely burned patients admitted to the burns centre in Bari (Italy) in the period 1989-92. *Ann Medit Burns Club*. 1994;7:73-9.
20. Namvar AE, Afshar M, Asghhari B, Lari AR. Characterisation of SCCmec elements in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from burn patients. *Burns*. 2014;40(4):708-12.
21. Ojo SK, Sargin BO and Esumeh FI. Plasmid curing analysis of antibiotic resistance in beta-lactamase producing *Staphylococci* from wounds and burns patients. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences: PJBS*. 2014;17(1):130-3.