

Clinical and radiological results of radial shortening osteotomy, and proximal row carpectomy in Kienböck's Disease

Engin Eren Desteli¹, Ahmet Serhat Genc², Yunus Imren³, Suleyman Semih Dedeoglu³

¹Uskudar State Hospital, Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology, Istanbul, Turkey

²Samsun Gazi State Hospital, Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology, Samsun, Turkey

³Okmeydani Research and Training Hospital, Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology, Istanbul, Turkey

Received 19 February 2017; Accepted 04 April 2017

Available online 11.04.2017 with doi: 10.5455/medscience.2017.06.8614

Abstract

In this prospective study, we aimed to evaluate the clinical and radiological results of our patients treated with radial shortening osteotomy (RSO) and proximal row carpectomy (PRC) together with a short review of the literature. The study included 35 patients with the diagnosis of Kienbock disease RSO was performed for 17 patients and 18 patients underwent PRC. 15 of the patients had Lichtman Stage 2, 14 patients had Stage 3A and 6 patients had Stage 3B disease. Q-DASH Score, Preoperative and postoperative carpal height ratio (CHR), revised CHR, stahl index, radial inclination values were noted. Preoperative and postoperative flexion-extension range of Motion (ROM) and ulnar deviation angles were also obtained. Nakamura's clinical evaluation system was performed to each patient. Results of clinical evaluation revealed significant progression at postoperative sixth month follow-up. Our results showed clinical improvement following surgeries of both RSO and PRC for Lichtman Stage 2, 3a and 3b disease. We consider that experience and technical familiarity of the surgeon is key factor to decide the type of the procedure to be performed.

Keywords: Kienböck's disease, proximal row carpectomy, radial shortening, Lichtman classification

Introduction

Etiology of Kienbock disease remains unclear, this is mainly due to the rarity of the disease and lack of enough number of prospective studies. The treatment for Kienböck's disease ranges from conservative modalities such as immobilisation to operative options such as radial shortening [1-3] ulnar lengthening [4] proximal row carpectomy [5-7] /silastic arthroplasty [8-10] intercarpal fusions [11-13] and revascularisation procedures [14,15].

Negative ulnar variance has been accepted as a predisposing factor to Kienbock disease, Hulten [16] in his study stated that in the case of negative ulnar variance, axial load on radial side of lunatum is increased which is a predisposing factor for lunatomalacia. Radial shortening osteotomy (RSO) is indicated in the case of negative ulnar variance as a joint leveling procedure [17-20]. Besides early stages of the disease, RSO has been found to be beneficial also in advanced stages [21]. Radial osteotomy has been found to increase vascularity of lunate and also made decompression [22]. Fragmentation and degenerative changes that occur particularly at proximal articular surface of lunate may ultimately lead collapse of the bone and the entire corpus. If carpal instability occurs in

advanced disease, proximal row carpectomy (PRC) can be considered [23-25]. PRC is a procedure used for the treatment of wrist arthritis, and has been reported to relieve pain and preserve wrist range of motion and grip strength [26,27]. Long-term outcomes of the most PRC and RSO procedures applied for treatment of Kienbock's disease are satisfactory (Table 1-2).

In this prospective study we aimed to evaluate the clinical and radiological results of patients treated with RSO and PRC.

Materials and Methods

Approval was obtained from the local scientific department of our hospital and consent to study participation from all subjects for this prospective study. The study included 15 patients with the diagnosis of Kienbock disease who underwent operation between 2009 to 2012. RSO was performed for 17 patients (Group I), (Figure 1-2) and 18 patients underwent PRC (Group II) (Figure 3-4). Mean follow-up time was 18.4 months for Group I patients and 19.0 months for Group II patients. Mean age of Group I patients was 35 years and Group II patients was 41 years. 16 of the patients were male and 19 of them were female. 15 of 35 patients had dominant side disease and were operated from their dominant wrists. 14 of the 35 patients had a history of trauma (40 %). According to Lichtman's classification, [28] at the time of diagnosis, 15 of the

*Corresponding Author: Yunus Imren, Okmeydani Research and Training Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey
E-mail: yunusimren@gmail.com

patients had Stage 2, 14 patients had Stage 3A and 6 patients had Stage 3B disease. (Table 3) Palmer's technique [29] was used to assess ulnar variance, according to this technique; 21 patients (60 %) had a negative ulnar variance and 14 patients (40 %) had a neutral ulnar variance.



Figure 1. Preoperative AP view before RSO



Figure 2. Postoperative AP view after RSO (same patient)



Figure 3. Preoperative AP view before PRC



Figure 4. Postoperative AP view after PRC (same patient)

Table 1. Long term follow-up results treated by proximal row carpectomy

Author	Surgical method	Number of patients	Follow-up time	Comments
Liu et al	PRC	10	10-29 years	Satisfactory results, reserved their previous work
Lumsden	PRC	17	15 years	Good clinical results
Culp RW	PRC	20	3.5 years	Patients with mild preoperative arthritic changes had better results
Croog AS	PRC	21	10 years	Reliable procedure for Lichtman Stage 3a and 3b
De Smet L	PRC	21	67 months	Satisfactory clinical results
Ali MH	PRC	61	19.8 years	Unsatisfactory results. Many of the patients were unable to return to manual labor type jobs.
Lecante F	PRC	25	30 months	Recommend for Lichtman Stage 3 disease

Table 2. Long term follow-up results treated by radial shortening osteotomy

Author	Surgical method	Number of patients	Follow-up time	Comments
Matsui et al (17)	Radial shortening	11	10 yrs	Satisfactory clinical results
Salmon et al (18)	Radial shortening	15	26 yrs	Recommend RSO for patients with carpal collapse accompanying severe pain
Raven et al	Radial shortening	12	22 yrs	Recommend RSO for Lichtman Stage 3a or less disease with a (-) ulnar variance
Altay	Radial shortening	23	7 yrs	Reliable long-term treatment for Lichtman Stage 3b disease
Rodrigues- Pinto	Radial shortening	18	10.3 yrs	Effective for Lichtman Stage 2,3a,3b disease.
Axelsson	Ulnar lengthening	22	22 yrs	All patients returned to work
Trail and Lindsheid	Ulnar lengthening and Radial shortening	20	11 yrs	Satisfactory clinical results
Wada	Radial wedge osteotomy	13	14 yrs	Good clinical results
Koh	Radial wedge osteotomy and Radial shortening	25	14.5 yrs	Good clinical results
Zenzai	Radial shortening with or without ulnar lengthening	35	19 yrs	Satisfactory results, reliable method
Viljakka	Radial shortening	16	32 yrs	Provides decade-long improvement in 75% of patients
Watanabe	Radial shortening	13	21 yrs	Satisfactory clinical results

Table 3. Distribution of the patients according to Lichtman's classification

Stage	Radial Shortening	Proximal Row Carpectomy	Overall
1			
2	5		5
3a	2	2	4
3b		6	6
4			
Overall	7	8	15

Six months after the operation, patients were re-evaluated and modified Nakamura clinical scoring system [30] (Table 4) was used for clinical evaluation. In Nakamura's evaluation system, radiological evaluation makes 9 points in total. Because insufficient radiological evaluation would affect the final result, we excluded 9 points and thus total points were calculated. Preoperative and postoperative angular examination was made using a goniometer. Range of motion (ROM) of wrist was noted while patient was in a sitting position and hands were put on the table. Preoperative and postoperative grip strength was examined by jamar hand dynamometer, dominant side was 5 % decreased to equalize dominant and non-dominant sides. Grip strength was measured while shoulder was in adduction and neutral rotation, elbow at 90 degrees flexion, forearm and hand in neutral position. Test was repeated 3 times and mean value was noted. Pinchmeter was used to evaluate pinch strength of 1st and 2nd fingers. Patients

were in a sitting position and elbow in 90 degrees flexion. For upper extremity functional evaluation Q-DASH score [31] was used. Preoperative and postoperative Carpal height ratio (CHR), revised CHR, stahl index, radial inclination values were noted. Preoperative and postoperative flexion-extension Range of Motion (ROM) and ulnar deviation angles were also obtained.

Figure 4. Postoperative AP view after PRC (same patient)

Modified Nakamura Scoring System	
Clinical Assessment	Points
Pain in the wrist	
None	10
Mild with strenuous activity	7
Mild with light work	4
Grip strength (percentage of unaffected side)	
90%	5
80%	4
70%	3
60%	2
50%	1
Increase in range of flexion and extension	
>20°	6
10°- 19°	5
5°- 9°	3
Overall grade	Total Points
Excellent	15-21
Good	9-14
Fair	3-8
Poor	0-2

SPSS for Windows programme 17.0 version was used for statistical analysis. Categorical comparisons were made using Pearson chi square, Fisher's χ^2 and Yates χ^2 tests. Paired samples t-test and Wilcoxon test were used for preoperative and postoperative comparison.

Results

Results of clinical evaluation revealed significant progression at postoperative sixth month follow-up. Mean preoperative Jamarmeter value was 20.05 ± 9.30 and postoperatively it was 29.80 ± 14.50 for Group 1 and 17.70 ± 4.40 and postoperatively it was 27.80 ± 14.0 for Group 2, mean preoperative pinchmeter value was 7.05 ± 1.70 and postoperatively it was 8.22 ± 1.50 for Group 1 and for Group 2 preoperatively it was 4.95 ± 1.15 and postoperative mean value was 6.30 ± 0.90 . Preoperative and postoperative mean flexion arc, extension arc and ulnar deviation values were found to be significantly increased following both radial shortening osteotomy and proximal row carpectomy. ($p < 0.05$). Mean time of union for the osteotomy sites was 6.5 weeks in Group 1 patients. According to the results of radiological evaluation, carpal height ratio, revised carpal height ratio, Stahl index and radial inclination did not change significantly following radial shortening osteotomy (Group 1) and Stahl index and Radial inclination values did not significantly change following proximal row carpectomy (Group 2) ($p > 0.05$). However mean carpal height ratio and revised carpal height ratio values were found significantly different following proximal row carpectomy ($p < 0.05$). Postoperative mean Q-DASH scores in Group 1 and 2 patients were found to be 15.9 (4-29) and 28.4 (9-50) respectively preoperatively it was 56.3 (13-86) for Group 1 and 56.3 (25-72) for Group 2 (25-72).

According to the results of Nakamura's clinical evaluation system 4 patients in Group 1 had excellent result (57 %) and 3 patients had good result (38 %), among Group 2 patients 1 had excellent result, 3 had good results, 2 had fair results and 2 had poor results.

Discussion

There are several options for surgical treatment of Kienbock disease. Experience of the surgeon is key factor for decision of the type of surgery. There are conflicting results regarding the type of surgery performed for Kienbock disease. Prior studies have confirmed that conservatively treated patients had progression of the disease both clinically and radiologically [32,33].

Treatment method of choice at different stages of the disease still remains a debate. Some authors do not recommend radial shortening at advanced stages of lunatomalacia. [12] this is due to the fact that osteotomy at this stage does not correct position of scaphoid [34,35,36]. In their study Croog et al [6,28] stated that proximal row

carpectomy was a reliable surgical method for Lichtman Stage IIIA and IIIB disease. De Smet et al [27] and Lumsden et al [24] had satisfactory outcomes in patients who they performed proximal row carpectomy, and they recommended this procedure in patients with advanced Kienbock's disease. In their systematic review, Chung et al [17] recommended PRC particularly for individuals greater than 35 years of age and involved in less demanding activities with the criteria PRC preserves a functional range of wrist motion which was found to be flexion-extension arc of 73.5 degrees and radial/ ulnar deviation of 31.5 degrees in their systematic review and can preserve up to 68% of grip strength.

Long-term outcomes of the most PRC and RSO procedures applied for treatment of Kienbock's disease are satisfactory (Table 1-2).

Several studies have demonstrated pain relief and minimal functional limitation following proximal row carpectomy [11,13,23]. Some prior studies indicated that patients were more likely to complain of weakness in grip strength and feelings of wrist instability, however more recent studies have demonstrated good recovery of grip strength [25]. In their long-term follow-up study of PRC, Ali et al [26] found that wrist motion and grip strength remained comparable to pre-operative values.

In contrary to some previous studies which did not recommend RSO for advanced Kienbock disease [12], some authors had satisfactory results [25]. Hulten [16] proposed that negative ulnar variance was a predisposing factor for KD however there are conflicting results in literature regarding this proposal [29,37]. Even though radial shortening procedure was primarily described for ulnar minus wrist joints, some authors performed radial shortening osteotomy to enable decompression of lunate rather than as a joint leveling procedure therefore they performed the procedure for ulnar zero and plus variance cases too [30,38,40].

In a study of 25 PRC operations, Lecomte et al [7] recommended PRC for advanced Kienbock disease particularly for Lichtman Stage III due to risk of early degeneration of capitate and radial head. Liu et al [23] recommended PRC in advanced disease, they had satisfactory long-term clinical results. Parallel to this result, the only radiological significance in our study observed for advanced disease was found in PRC operated patients, the difference between preoperative and postoperative values of carpal height ratio and revised carpal height ratio were found to be significantly different. Our results showed clinical improvement following surgeries of both RSO and PRC for Lichtman Stage 2, 3a and 3b disease. We consider that experience and technical familiarity of the surgeon is key factor to decide the type of the procedure to be performed.

References

- 1- Altay T, Baş M, Karapınar L, Öztürk H, Us M R. Radialshortening in Kienböck's disease. *Ekleml Hastalıkları Cerrahisi*. 2002;13:15-22.
- 2- Axelsson R. Niveau operations in necrosis of the lunate bone. *Handchirurgie*. 1973;5(4):187-96.
- 3- Matsui Y, Funakoshi T, Motomiya M, Urita A, Minami M, Iwasaki N. Radial shortening osteotomy for Kienbock disease: Minimum 10-year follow-up. *J Hand Surg Am*. 2011;39(4):679-85.
- 4- Trumble T, Glisson RR, Seaber AV, Urbaniak JR. A biomechanical comparison of the methods for treating Kienböck's disease. *J Hand Surg Am*. 1986;11(1):88-93.
- 5- Crabbe W A. Excision of the proximal row of the carpus. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 1964;1:708-11.
- 6- Croog AS, Stern PJ Proximal row carpectomy in advanced Kienbock's disease: average 10 years follow-up *J Hand Surg Am*. 2008;33(7):1122-30.
- 7- Lecomte F, Wavreille G, Limousin M, Strouk G, Fontaine C, Chantelot C. Proximal row carpectomy 25 cases. *Rev Chir Orthop Reparatrice Appar Mot*. 2007;93(5):444-54.
- 8- Bellemere P, Maes-Clavier C, Loubersac T, Gaisne E, Kerjean Y, Collon S. Pyrocarbon interposition wrist arthroplasty in the treatment of failed wrist procedures. *J wrist Surg*. 2012;1(1):31-8.
- 9- Lichtman DM, Alexander AH, Mack GR, Gunther SF. Kienböck's disease: update on silicone replacement arthroplasty. *J Hand Surg*. 1982;7(4):343-7.
- 10- Lichtman DM, Mack GR, MacDonald RI, Gunther SF, Wilson JN. Kienböck's disease: the role of silicone replacement arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1977;59(7):899-908.
- 11- Divelbiss B, Baratz M. The role of arthroplasty and arthrodesis following trauma to the upper extremity. *Hand Clin*. 1999;15(2):335-45.
- 12- Takase K, Imakiire A. Lunate excision, capitate osteotomy, and intercarpal arthrodesis for advanced Kienbock disease. Long-term follow-up. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2001;83(2):177-83.
- 13- Wyrick JD. Proximal row carpectomy and intercarpal arthrodesis for the management of wrist arthritis. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. 2003;11(4):277-81.
- 14- Condit DP, Idler RS, Fischer TJ, Hastings H 2nd. Preoperative factors and outcome after lunate decompression for Kienböck's disease. *J Hand Surg Am*. 1993;18(4):691-6.
- 15- Tamai S, Yajima H, Ono H. Revascularization procedures in the treatment of Kienbock's disease. *Hand Clin*. 1993;9(3):455-66.
- 16- Hulten O. Ober anatomische Variationen des Handgelenkknochen. *Acta Radiol*. 1928;9:155-68.
- 17- Chung KC, Spilson SV, Kim HM. Is negative ulnar variance a risk factor for Kienböck's disease? A meta-analysis. *Ann Plastic Surg*. 2001;47(5):494-9.
- 18- Nakamura R, Tanaka Y, Imaeda T, Miura T. The influence of age and sex on ulnar variance. *J Hand Surg Br*. 1991;16(1):84-8.
- 19- Ovesen J. Shortening of the radius in the treatment of lunatomalacia. *J Bone Joint Surg [Br]*. 1981;63(2):231-2.
- 20- Viljakka T, Tallroth K, Vastamaki M. Long-term outcome (20 to 33 years) of radial shortening osteotomy for Kienbock's Lunatomalacia *J Hand Surg Eur Vol*. 2014;39(4):405-15
- 21- Keith PP, Nuttall D, Trail I. Long-term outcome of nonsurgically managed Kienböck's disease. *J Hand Surg*. 2004;29(1):63-7.
- 22- Iwasaki N, Minami A, Oizumi N, Suenaga N, Kato H, Minami M. Radial osteotomy for late-stage Kienböck's disease-wedge osteotomy versus radial shortening. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 2002;84(5):673-7.
- 23- Liu M, Zhou H, Yang Z, Huang F, Pei F, Xiang Z. Clinical evaluation of proximal row carpectomy revealed by follow-up for 10-29 years. *Int Orthop*. 2009;33(5):1315-21.
- 24- Lumsden BC, Stone A, Engber WD Treatment of advanced stage Kienbock's disease with proximal row carpectomy: an average 15 year follow-up *J Hand Surg Am*. 2008;33(4):493-502.
- 25- Wall LB, DiDonna ML, Kiefhaber TR, Stern PJ. Proximal row carpectomy-study with a minimum of ten years of follow-up. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2004;86(11):2359-64.
- 26- Ali MH, Rizzo M, Shin AY, Moran SL Long-term outcomes of proximal row carpectomy. *Hand (NY)*. 2012;7(1):72-8.
- 27- De Smet L, Robijns P, Degreef I Proximal row carpectomy in advanced Kienbock's disease *J Hand Surg Br*. 2005;30(6):585-7.
- 28- Lichtman DM, Lesley NE, Simmons SP. The classification and treatment of Kienbock's disease: the state of the art and a look at the future. *J Hand Surg Eur Vol*. 2010;35(7):549-54.
- 29- Palmer AK, Glisson RR, Werner FW. Ulnar variance determination. *J Hand Surg Am*. 1982;7(4):376-9.
- 30- Nakamura R, Tsuge S, Watanabe K, Tsunoda K. Radial wedge osteotomy for Kienböck's disease. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1991;73(9):1391-6.
- 31- Gummesson C, Ward MM, Atroshi I. The shortened disabilities of the arm, shoulder and hand questionnaire (QuickDASH): validity and reliability based on responses within the full-length DASH. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2006;7:44.
- 32- Allende BT. Osteoarthritis of the wrist secondary to non-union of the scaphoid. *Int Orthop*. 1988;12(3):201-11.
- 33- Weiss AP. Osteoarthritis of the wrist. *Instr Course Lect*. 2004;53:31-40.
- 34- Iwasaki N, Minami A, Ishikawa J, Kato H, Minami M. Radial osteotomies for teenage patients with Kienböck disease. *Clin Orthop*. 2005;439:116-22.
- 35- Iwasaki N, Minami A, Oizumi N, Yamane S, Suenaga N, Kato H. Predictors of clinical results of radial osteotomies for Kienböck's disease. *Clin Orthop*. 2003;415:157-62.
- 36- Watanabe T, Takahara M, Tsuchida H, Yamahara S, Kikuchi N, Ogino T. Long-term follow-up of radial shortening osteotomy for Kienbock disease. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2008;90(8):1705-11
- 37- Mirabello SC, Rosenthal DI, Smith RJ. Correlation of clinical and radiographic findings in Kienböck's disease. *J Hand Surg Am*. 1987;12 (6):1049-54.
- 38- Raven EEJ, Haverkamp D, Marti RK. Outcome of Kienböck's disease 22 years after distal radius shortening osteotomy. *Clin Orthop*. 2007;460:137-41.
- 39- Weiss AP, Weiland AJ, Moore JR, Wilgis EF Radial shortening for Kienböck's disease. *J Bone Joint Surg*. 1991;73(3A):384-91.
- 40- Zenzai K, Shibata M, Endo N. Longterm outcome of radial shortening with or without ulnar shortening for treatment of Kienbock's disease: a 13-25 years follow-up. *J Hand Surg Br*. 2005;30(2):226-8.