

## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Medicine Science 2018;7(1):186-90

**Did we overcome rheumatic heart disease or is it still a public disease burden?****Erkan Yildirim<sup>1</sup>, Baris Bagan<sup>2</sup>**<sup>1</sup> *Gulhane Training and Research Hospital, Department of Cardiology, Ankara, Turkey*<sup>2</sup> *Dr. Suat Günsel University of Kyrenia Hospital, Department of Cardiology, Krenia, Mersin, Turkey*

Received 30.October 2017; Accepted 14 November 2017

Available online 08.12.2017 with doi: 10.5455/medscience.2017.06.8683

**Abstract**

Rheumatic heart disease (RHD), affecting approximately 32 million people all over the world is one of the major causes of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality especially in developing countries. In this ongoing pilot study, we aim to investigate the prevalence of both definitive and borderline RHD in Turkey as a developing country. A total of 386 healthy young individuals (45 female, 341 males) who applied to our Cardiology Clinic for being military personnel coming from different regions of Turkey in the year 2015, were recruited for our study. 2012 World Heart Federation (WHF) criteria for echocardiographic assessment and diagnosis of RHD were used. Study population was classified into one of the three groups as normal, borderline RHD and definitive RHD. The three groups were similar in terms of baseline characteristics and laboratory findings. Definitive and borderline RHD were found in 11 (2,9%) and 29 (7,5%) individuals, respectively. Abnormal thickening of the anterior mitral valve leaflet (AMVL) was found statistically significant between the normal and the RHD groups ( $3.1 \pm 0.6$  vs  $4.2 \pm 0.9$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). Even though the number of patients in our study is small, we found the incidence of borderline and the definitive RHD higher than we expected. Transthoracic echocardiography may be helpful in detecting RHD at the early-stages and preventing progression of the disease with secondary antibiotic prophylaxis.

**Keywords:** Rheumatic Heart Disease, Acute Rheumatic Fever, and Public Disease Burden**Introduction**

Rheumatic heart disease (RHD), affecting approximately 32 million people all over the world is one of the major causes of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality especially in developing countries [1]. In most of the developed countries, RHD is no longer a social health problem. However, it is still endemic even in socioeconomically underdeveloped regions of developed countries like Australia [2,3]. Classically, RHD is sequelae of untreated group A beta-hemolytic streptococcal (GABHS) infections in individuals with genetic predisposition to RHD. Recurrent acute rheumatic fever (ARF) attacks are associated with severity of valvular injury [4,5]. However, many patients with RHD can present with advanced valvular disease findings without history of significant ARF attacks [6-8]. RHD is the only chronic sequelae of acute rheumatic fever that results in lifelong. However, it is a preventable disease with appropriate antibiotic therapy. Therefore, the detection of mild grade RHD and secondary penicillin prophylaxis is important for the prevention and progression of the disease in the asymptomatic population. Transthoracic echocardiography is an ideal imaging modality for RHD screening in asymptomatic individuals.

In this pilot study, we aim to investigate the prevalence of both definitive and borderline RHD in Turkey as a developing country.

**Material and Method**

Our study was a prospective, cross-sectional and observational study. A total of 386 healthy young individuals (45 female, 341 males) who applied to the Department of Cardiology, Gulhane Training and Research Hospital, Ankara, Turkey for being military personnel coming from different regions of Turkey in the year 2015, were recruited for our study. According to the military regulations, all candidates have to be examined by routine echocardiography as a part of routine examination irrespective of the presence of any symptoms. To assess the presence of both definitive and borderline RHD, 2012 World Heart Federation (WHF) criteria for echocardiographic assessment and diagnosis of RHD was used [9]. Study population was classified into one of the three groups as normal, borderline RHD and definitive RHD according to the echocardiographic findings. All individuals in the study population had neither any symptoms nor history of cardiac diseases. After a 15-minute resting period, the patients were examined in the left lateral decubitus position with a Vivid S5 (GE Healthcare, Norway). Complete 2D, color, pulsed and continuous-wave Doppler examinations were performed according to the standard techniques. When a valve disease was diagnosed, a more

\*Corresponding Author: Erkan Yildirim, Gulhane Training and Research Hospital, Department of Cardiology, Ankara/Turkey  
E-mail: [dr\\_erkanyildirim@yahoo.com.tr](mailto:dr_erkanyildirim@yahoo.com.tr)

detailed examination was performed regarding the presence of echocardiographic criteria and morphological features of RHD. Echocardiographic criteria and morphological features

of rheumatic heart disease, which were also used in our study population, were given in Table 1 and 2. Local Ethics Committee of our institute approved the protocol of study.

**Table 1.** 2012 World Heart Federation criteria for echocardiographic diagnosis of rheumatic heart disease

**Echocardiographic criteria for individuals aged ≤20 years**

**Definite RHD (either A, B, C, or D)**

- A. Pathological MR and at least two morphological features of RHD of the MV
- B. MS mean gradient  $\geq 4$  mmHg
- C. Pathological AR and at least two morphological features of RHD of the AV
- D. Borderline disease of both the AV and MV

**Borderline RHD (either A, B, or C)**

- A. At least two morphological features of RHD of the MV without pathological MR or MS
- B. Pathological MR
- C. Pathological AR

**Echocardiographic criteria for individuals aged >20 years**

**Definite RHD (either A, B, C, or D)**

- A. Pathological MR and at least two morphological features of RHD of the MV
- B. MS mean gradient  $\geq 4$  mmHg
- C. Pathological AR and at least two morphological features of RHD of the AV, only in individuals aged <35 years
- D. Pathological AR and at least two morphological features of RHD of the MV

AR, aortic regurgitation; AV, aortic valve; MR, mitral regurgitation; MS, mitral stenosis; MV, mitral valve; RHD, rheumatic heart disease

**Table 2.** Echocardiographic criteria and morphological features of rheumatic heart disease

| Echocardiographic criteria for pathological regurgitation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Morphological features of rheumatic heart disease                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Pathological mitral regurgitation</b><br>(All four Doppler echocardiographic criteria must be met) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Seen in two views</li> <li>• In at least one view, jet length <math>\geq 2</math> cm</li> <li>• Velocity <math>\geq 3</math> m/s for one complete envelope</li> <li>• Pan-systolic jet in at least one envelope</li> </ul> <b>Pathological aortic regurgitation</b><br>(All four Doppler echocardiographic criteria must be met) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Seen in two views</li> <li>• In at least one view, jet length <math>\geq 1</math> cm</li> <li>• Velocity <math>\geq 3</math> m/s in early diastole</li> <li>• Pan-diastolic jet in at least one envelope</li> </ul> | <b>Features in the MV</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• AMVL thickening <math>\geq 3</math> mm (age-specific)*</li> <li>• Chordal thickening</li> <li>• Restricted leaflet motion</li> <li>• Excessive leaflet tip motion during systole</li> </ul> <b>Features in the AV</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Irregular or focal thickening</li> <li>• Coaptation defect</li> <li>• Restricted leaflet motion</li> <li>• Prolapse</li> </ul> |

AMVL, anterior mitral valve leaflet; AV, aortic valve; MV, mitral valve. \*Abnormal thickening of the AMVL is age-specific and defined as follows:  $\geq 3$  mm for individuals aged <20 years;  $\geq 4$  mm for individuals aged 21–40 years;  $\geq 5$  mm for individuals aged >40 years

## Statistical Analysis

The categorical variables were defined as percentages whereas the continuous variables were reported as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) and were compared using the Student t-test in case of normal distribution. The normality of the continuous variables was tested using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Chi-square test was used to evaluate categorical variables. One-way ANOVA test was used for comparison between groups, and Tukey test was used for post-hoc analysis. Statistical significance was defined as  $p < 0.05$ . All statistical analyses and calculations were conducted using the SPSS 20.0 Statistical Package Program for Windows (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

## Results

A total of 386 healthy young ( $\leq 45$  years old) individuals (45 female, 341 males; mean age  $28.1 \pm 7.4$ ) were included to our study. The three groups were similar in terms of baseline characteristics

and laboratory findings (Table 3). Of these 386 subjects, 30 subjects (7.8%) were under the age of 20, 299 subjects (77.5%) were between 20–40 and 57 subjects (14.7%) were over 40 years old. Definitive and borderline RHD were found in 11 individuals (2.9%) and 29 individuals (7.5%), respectively. Of these 11 patients with definitive RHD, 1 patient (3.3%) was under the age of 20, 7 patients (7.5%) were between 20–40 and 3 patients (5.3%) were over 40 years old (Table 4). Only 8 patients (20.0%) with RHD had a murmur at cardiac auscultation, and only 9 patients (22.5%) had a history of previous frequent sore throat and tonsillitis. Nobody had clinical symptoms. In addition, abnormal thickening of the anterior mitral valve leaflet (AMVL) was found statistically significant between the normal and the RHD groups ( $3.1 \pm 0.6$  vs  $4.2 \pm 0.9$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). However, the thickness of the AMVL was not found statistically significant between the borderline and the definitive RHD groups ( $4.1 \pm 0.6$  vs  $4.2 \pm 0.6$ ,  $p = 0.341$ ) (Table 5). The distribution of valve diseases among the study population is given in Table 6.

**Table 3.** Baseline demographic and laboratory findings of the study population

|                        | Normal<br>(n=346) | Borderline RHD<br>(n=29) | Definite RHD<br>(n=11) | P value |
|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Age, (years)           | 29,73 ±11,36      | 26,75±11,62              | 28,09 ±12,5            | 0,549   |
| Gender (Male) n,(%)    | 306 (%88,4)       | 25 (%86,2)               | 10 (%90,9)             | 0,367   |
| Glucose, (mg/dL)       | 95,42 ± 8,75      | 96,65 ± 7,23             | 97,25 ± 3,48           | 0,724   |
| Urea, (mg/dL)          | 25,32 ± 7,78      | 29,45 ± 6,89             | 31,08 ± 3,86           | 0,204   |
| Creatinine, (mg/dL)    | 0,78 ± 0,12       | 0,86 ± 0,11              | 0,77 ± 0,26            | 0,123   |
| Uric acid, (mg/dL)     | 5,02 ± 1,89       | 5,53 ± 2,12              | 4,48 ±1,29             | 0,448   |
| HDL-C, (mg/dL)         | 43,02 ± 5,79      | 41,30 ± 7,34             | 39,90 ± 4,50           | 0,437   |
| LDL-C, (mg/dL)         | 109,95 ± 29,50    | 110,22 ± 42,49           | 105,95 ± 38,40         | 0,117   |
| Triglycerides, (mg/dL) | 105,34 ± 36,35    | 117,45 ± 34,12           | 115,23 ± 46,29         | 0,389   |
| Leukocytes, (103 µL)   | 5,12 ± 2,43       | 6,31 ± 1,26              | 5,75 ± 3,58            | 0,109   |
| Neutrophil, (103 µL)   | 3,24 ± 1,12       | 3,67 ± 1,87              | 3,76 ± 1,21            | 0,203   |
| Lymphocyte, (103 µL)   | 1,88 ± 0,72       | 2,64 ± 0,59              | 2,01 ± 0,57            | 0,198   |
| Erythrocytes (103 µL)  | 5,21 ± 0,34       | 5,10 ± 0,67              | 5,14 ± 0,38            | 0,781   |
| Hemoglobin (g/dL)      | 15,21 ± 1,24      | 14,41 ± 2,22             | 14,33 ± 1,33           | 0,309   |
| Hematocrit (%)         | 45,86 ± 3,97      | 43,12 ± 5,31             | 43,54 ± 3,53           | 0,192   |
| Platelet (103 /µL)     | 205,20 ± 49,12    | 235,67 ± 35,96           | 240,23 ± 62,23         | 0,089   |
| ESR (mm/saat)          | 13,19 ± 5,54      | 11,96 ± 5,55             | 13,54 ± 8,98           | 0,441   |

HDL-C: High density lipoprotein cholesterol , LDL-C: Low density lipoprotein cholesterol, ESR: Erythrocyte sedimentation rate

**Table 4.** The number of the subgroups according to the age in the study population

|              | Normal      | Borderline RHD | Definite RHD | Total (n) |
|--------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|
| ≤ 20 Ages    | 24 (%80)    | 5 (%16.7)      | 1 (%3.3)     | 30        |
| 20-40 Ages   | 273 (%91.3) | 19 (%6.4)      | 7 (%2.3)     | 299       |
| >40 Ages     | 49 (%85.9)  | 5 (%8.8)       | 3 (%5.3)     | 57        |
| Total (n, %) | 346(%89.6)  | 29(%7.5)       | 11(%2.9)     | 386       |

**Table 5.** Thickness of the AMVL for Normal and RHD groups

|                       | Normal<br>(n=346) | Borderline RHD<br>(n=29) | Definite RHD<br>(n=11) | Comparison Group       | Post hoc P value |
|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| Thickness of the AMVL | 3.1 ± 0.6         | 4.1 ± 0.6                | 4.2 ± 0.6              | Borderline vs. Normal  | 0.001            |
|                       |                   |                          |                        | Definite vs Normal     | 0.001            |
|                       |                   |                          |                        | Borderline vs Definite | 0.341            |

**Table 6.** The distribution of valve diseases among the study population

|                      |          | Normal<br>(n=346) | Borderline RHD<br>(n=29) | Definite RHD<br>(n=11) |
|----------------------|----------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Mitral regurgitation | Mild     | 28 (8,0%)         | 17 (58,6%)               | 6 (54,5%)              |
|                      | Moderate | -                 | 5 (17,2%)                | 3 (27,2%)              |
|                      | Severe   | -                 | -                        | -                      |
| Mitral stenosis      | Mild     | -                 | 2 (6,8%)                 | 2 (18,1%)              |
|                      | Moderate | -                 | -                        | 1 (9,0%)               |
|                      | Severe   | -                 | -                        | -                      |
| Aortic regurgitation | Mild     | 10 (2,8%)         | 4 (13,7%)                | 3 (27,2%)              |
|                      | Moderate | -                 | -                        | 1 (9,0%)               |
|                      | Severe   | -                 | -                        | -                      |
| Aortic stenosis      | Mild     | -                 | -                        | -                      |
|                      | Moderate | -                 | -                        | -                      |
|                      | Severe   | -                 | -                        | -                      |

## Discussion

Even though the number of patients in our study is small, we found the incidence of borderline and the definitive RHD higher than we expected. Although the pathophysiology of RHD has been elucidated, the prevention of the development or progression of the disease has not been achieved precisely at present. ARF is an autoimmune process in which antibodies against streptococcal antigens are targeted to cardiac, synovial and neuronal tissues. ARF can manifest with arthritis, carditis, erythema marginatum and Sydenham chorea [10]. While most of the systemic involvement can limit itself, especially recurrent attacks can lead to irreversible valvular thickening and fibrosis. It has previously been shown that poor living conditions, poor educational level and inadequate access to health care are related to the severity of RHD. [11] Transthoracic echocardiography is an inexpensive, easily accessible method of imaging that can be used to detect early stage RHD. Since there is no consensus on the criteria to be used in RHD screening, a guideline was published by the World Heart Federation in 2012 and the “borderline” and “definite” RHD criteria were defined [9]. Borderline RHD forms a heterogeneous group and is not considered to be entirely benign. Approximately one in four of these patients develop moderate-to-severe valve disease [11]. Markers related to disease progression are uncertain. In many cases, secondary antibiotic prophylaxis is not initiated in cases of borderline RHD [13]. However, there are some studies evaluating the feasibility of prophylaxis in borderline RHD cases with the presence of concomitant moderate-severe valve insufficiency [11]. Valvular damage and disease progression are more pronounced in definite RHD patients. In a study, 20 patients with definite RHD diagnoses were followed for 7.5 years without antibiotic prophylaxis, and 70% had persistent disease or progression, and 20% of them required valvular surgery [11]. It is known that timely surgical or percutaneous interventions in patients with severe rheumatic heart disease improve prognosis [14,15]. However, these patients who have undergone valve replacement use lifetime anticoagulants and are at higher risk of bleeding complications and infective endocarditis than the normal population.

Primary prevention should target hygiene and improvement in living conditions to prevent GABHS infections. These environmental changes require a significant infrastructure investment in the whole country [16]. The correct diagnosis and timely delivery of proper antibiotic treatment for streptococcal throat infection will also prevent the immunological process which leads to ARF. Streptococcal rapid diagnostic tests, the presence of microbiology laboratories, experienced health personnel, and proper penicillin therapy are important steps in this process. Clinical judgment and treatment of patients with penicillin without throat culture is likely to reduce the frequency of RHD [17]. Secondary prophylaxis with intramuscular benzathine penicillin is a low-cost treatment with proven efficacy in the prevention of recurrent streptococcal throat infections and valvular injury and in the prevention of community health [18,19]. The effect of secondary prophylaxis on heart failure development or mortality is related to the onset of the prophylaxis at an early stage of the disease [20]. Secondary prophylaxis has been shown to have no effect on heart failure and mortality in Sub-Saharan African countries and in Northern Australia where echocardiographic screening has not been adequately performed

and patients have been presented with advanced RHD [21]. These findings demonstrate the importance of echocardiographic screening for the early recognition of the disease and the immediate onset of secondary antibiotic prophylaxis [21,22]. Studies on RHD and ARF in our country have reported different results regarding the frequency of these diseases [23-25]. This is not surprising considering the heterogeneous nature of our country in terms of socioeconomic level. In a study conducted by Olguntürk et al. in 1990s, 4086 school age individuals in Ankara were evaluated regarding the ARF and RHD. The prevalence rates of ARA and RKH were reported as 3.7 and 0.73, respectively [26].

There may be several important reasons for the difference in the frequency of RHD in our country. The fact that the criteria used in the definition of RHD are different from each other can be an important reason for the difference. It is also important that patient populations are completely different. The presence of military candidates from our country's rural areas in our study population could be one of the factors that could explain the difference. If we consider the heterogeneity in the socioeconomic level of our country, even in different districts of the same province, we can say that larger studies are needed in this regard. We think that individuals aged  $\leq 20$  years may be considered for routine echocardiography screening, as this age group benefits the most from early detection and secondary prevention of RHD. However multicenter prospective studies with larger cohort are needed to support our suggestion.

Our study has important limitations. The low number of samples and the single centered nature of the study can be counted as the most important limitations. The diagnosis of patients was made by transthoracic echocardiography and was not confirmed by transesophageal echocardiography. Since our study population was military personnel, the vast majority of the population was male. Since there is not enough data about the female individuals, our findings can not be extrapolated female individuals.

## Conclusion

Even though the number of patients in our study is small, we found the incidence of borderline and the definitive RHD more than we expected. Transthoracic echocardiography may be helpful in detecting RHD at the early-stages, and preventing progression of the disease with secondary antibiotic prophylaxis. Improving living conditions and care for hygiene will also reduce GABHS spread. There is a need to raise awareness of primary and secondary prevention measures in order to reduce cardiovascular morbidity and mortality associated with RHD. We believe that multicenter prospective studies with larger cohorts related to this issue will help health policies in terms of community health.

## References

1. Global Burden of Disease Study 2013 Collaborators. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 301 acute and chronic diseases and injuries in 188 countries, 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet* .2015; 386(9995):743-800.
2. Mirabel M, Fauchier T, Bacquelin R, Tafflet M, Germain A, Robillard C, Rouchon B, Marijon E, Jouven X. Echocardiography screening to detect rheumatic heart disease: a cohort study of schoolchildren in French Pacific Islands, *Int. J. Cardiol.* 2015;188: 89-95.

3. Roberts K, Maguire G, Brown A, Atkinson D, Remenyi B, Wheaton G, Kelly A, Kumar RK, Su JY, Carapetis JR. Echocardiographic screening for rheumatic heart disease in high and low risk Australian children. *Circulation*. 2014;129(19):1953–61.
4. Meira ZM, Goulart EM, Colosimo EA, Mota CC. Long term follow up of rheumatic fever and predictors of severe rheumatic valvar disease in Brazilian children and adolescents. *Heart*. 2005;91(8):1019-22.
5. Carapetis JR, Kilburn CJ, MacDonald KT, Walker AR, Currie JB. Ten-year follow up of a cohort with rheumatic heart disease (RHD). *Aust N Z J Med*. 1997;27(6):691-7.
6. Carapetis JR, Zühlke LJ. Global research priorities in rheumatic fever and rheumatic heart disease. *Ann Pediatr Cardiol*. 2011;4(1):4-12.
7. Zhang W, Mondo C, Okello E, Musoke C, Kakande B, Nyakoojo W, Kayima J, Freers J. Presenting features of newly diagnosed rheumatic heart disease patients in Mulago Hospital: a pilot study. *Cardiovasc J Afr*. 2013;24(2):28-33.
8. Akinwusi PO, Peter JO, Oyedeji AT, Odeyemi AO. The new face of rheumatic heart disease in South West Nigeria. *Int J Gen Med* 2013;6:375-81.
9. Reményi B, Wilson N, Steer A, Ferreira B, Kado J, Kumar K, Lawrenson J, Maguire G, Marijon E, Mirabel M, Mocumbi AO, Mota C, Paar J, Saxena A, Scheel J, Stirling J, Viali S, Balekundri VI, Wheaton G, Zühlke L, Carapetis J. World Heart Federation criteria for echocardiographic diagnosis of rheumatic heart disease--an evidence-based guideline *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 2012;28;9:297-309.
10. Wallace MR, Garst PD, Papadimos TJ, Oldfield EC 3rd. The return of acute rheumatic fever in young adults. *JAMA*. 1989;262(18):2557–61.
11. Kingué S, Ba SA, Balde D, Diarra MB, Anzouan-Kacou JB, Anisubia B, Damorou JM, Ndobu P, Menanga A, Kane A, Kakou-Guikahué M, Kenfack M, Metogo B, Chelo D, Yangnigni E, Tantchou C, Bertrand E, Monsuez JJ; Working Group on Tropical Cardiology of the Société française de cardiologie. The VALVAFRIC study: A registry of rheumatic heart disease in Western and Central Africa. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis*. 2016;109(5):321-9.
12. Engelman D, Wheaton GR, Mataika RL, Kado JH, Colquhoun SM, Remenyi B, Steer AC. Screening detected rheumatic heart disease can progress to severe disease. *Heart Asia*. 2016;28;8(2):67-73.
13. Roberts K, Colquhoun S, Steer A, Remenyi B, Carapetis J. Screening for rheumatic heart disease: current approaches and controversies. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 2013;10(1):49–58.
14. Nishimura RA, Otto CM, Bonow RO, Carabello BA, Erwin JP 3rd, Guyton RA, O'Gara PT, Ruiz CE, Skubas NJ, Sorajja P, Sundt TM 3rd, Thomas JD; ACC/AHA Task Force Members. 2014 AHA/ACC Guideline for the Management of Patients With Valvular Heart Disease: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2014;129(23):2440–92.
15. Sharma J, Goel PK, Pandey CM, Awasthi A, Kapoor A, Tewari S, Garg N, Kumar S, Khanna R. Intermediate outcomes of rheumatic mitral stenosis post-balloon mitral valvotomy. *Asian Cardiovasc Thorac Ann*. 2015;23(8):923-30.
16. Labarthe D. Epidemiology and prevention of cardiovascular diseases: a global challenge. 2nd edition. Sudbury (MA): Jones and Bartlett Publishers; 2011.
17. Irlam J, Mayosi BM, Engel M, Gaziano TA. Primary prevention of acute rheumatic fever and rheumatic heart disease with penicillin in South African children with pharyngitis: a cost-effectiveness analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2013;6(3):343–51.
18. Feinstein AR, Spagnuolo M, Jonas S, Kloth H, Tursky E, Levitt M. Prophylaxis of recurrent rheumatic fever: therapeutic-continuous oral penicillin vs monthly injections. *JAMA*. 1968; 206(3):565-8.
19. Feinstein AR, Harrison FW, Spagnuolo M, Taranta A, Jonas S, Kleinberg E, Tursky E. Rheumatic fever in children and adolescent. A longterm epidemiologic study of subsequent prophylaxis, streptococcal infection, and clinical sequelae. *Ann Intern Med*. 1964;60(5):87-123.
20. Zühlke L, Karthikeyan G, Engel ME, Rangarajan S, Mackie P, Cupido-Katya Mauff B, Islam S, Daniels R, Francis V, Ogendo S, Gitura B, Mondo C, Okello E, Lwabi P, A-Kebsi MM, Hugo-Hamman C, Sheta SS, Haileamlak A, Daniel W, Goshu DY, Abdissa SG, Desta AG, Shasho BA, Begna DM, ElSayed A, Ibrahim AS, Musuku J, Bode-Thomas F, Yilgwan CC, Amusa GA, Ige O, Okeahialam B, Sutton C, Misra R, Abul Fad A, Kennedy N, Damasceno A, Sani MU, Ogah OS, Elhassan TO, Mocumbi AO, Adeoye AM, Mntla P, Ojji D, Mucumbitsi J, Teo K, Yusuf S, Mayosi BM. Clinical Outcomes in 3343 Children and Adults With Rheumatic Heart Disease From 14 Low-and Middle-Income Countries: Two-Year Follow-Up of the Global Rheumatic Heart Disease Registry (the REMEDY Study). *Circulation*. 2016;134(19):1456-66.
21. Karthikeyan G, Mayosi BM. Is primary prevention of rheumatic fever the missing link in the control of rheumatic heart disease in Africa? *Circulation*. 2009;120(8):709–13.
22. Zühlke L, Mayosi BM. Echocardiographic screening for subclinical rheumatic heart disease remains a research tool pending studies of impact on prognosis. *Curr Cardiol Rep*. 2013;15(3):343.
23. Saraçlar M, Ertuğrul A, Özme ve Ajun A. Akut romatizmal ateş insidansı ve romatizmal kalp hastalıklarının prevalansı, Türk Kardioloji Derneği Arşivi 1978;7:50-5.
24. Beyazova U, Benli D, Beyazova M. Akut romatizmal ateş görülme sıklığı, Çocuk Sağ Hast Derg 1987;2:76-80
25. Karademir S, Demirçeken F, Atalay S, Demircin G, Sipahi T, Teziç T. Acute rheumatic fever in children in the Ankara area in 1980-1989, *Acta Pediatr* 1994;83:862-5
26. Olguntürk R, Aydın GB, Tunaoglu FS, Akalin N. Rheumatic heart disease prevalence among schoolchildren in Ankara, Turkey. *Turk J Pediatr*. 1999;41(2):201-6.